

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,742	11/26/2003	Janaki Kumar	13906-148001 / 2003P00828	9947
32864 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/24/2007 ARDSON, P.C.		EXAM	INER
PO BOX 1022	·		VAUGHN, C	GREGORY J
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/723,742	KUMAR ET AL.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory J. Vaughn	2178
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Gregory J. Vaughn</u> .	(3)	
(2) Matt Symth (applicant's representitive).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 April 2007</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference		
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,6,13,18 and 25</u> .		`
Identification of prior art discussed: Ohnishi, Zak and Wahr.	•	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	reed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	elast Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	v been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		•
		·
	j	P
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's size	nature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

## **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)**

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and applicant's representative discussed the claimed invention in light of cited prior art of record. Applicant's representative presented arguments related to the cited prior art of record failing to teach or suggest the claimed limitation of "receiving input from a pointing device associated with the interaction center agent device, the input specifying a cursor location over the common message area wherein the automatic scrolling action of the broadcast message is paused upon receiving input". The examiner found applicant's representatives remarks persuasive. The examiner agreed to withdrawal the finality of the previous office action and reconsider the merits of the invention as a whole..

TISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

SUMMERT PTOL-43A (OS-OS)

Api	Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form	ed Interview	, Reque	st Form		
Application No.: 10/723,742	First Named Applic	First Named Applicant Kumar et al.				
Examiner: Gregory J. Vaughn	Art unit: 2171	Status of Application: Published	n: Publishec	•		
Tentative Participants: (1) Examiner Gregory Vaughn [Fax: 571-273-4131]	uqbne	(2)	Matt Smyth (Telephone)	<u>Matt Smyth</u> (Telephone: 612-337-2592)	2592)	
Proposed Date of Interview: April 18, 2007	w: April 18, 2007	Proposed Time: 11:00 EDI ( AM )	ne: 11;00	EDI (AM)	_	
Type of Interview Requested:  (1) ⊠Telephonic (2) □ Personal (3)  Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: □ YES  if yes, provide brief description:	ted: ☐ Personal emonstrated: ☐	(3) 🗆 Video (	☐ Video Conference ☒ NO	<b>9</b>		
		Issues To Be Discussed	ssed			
Issues Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #3	Prior Art	A	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agrecd
(1) Rej.	6 and 25	Wahr				
(2)						
Continuation Sheet Attached	8					
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  Even if the combination of Onlishi. Zak and Wahr is proper—a point that Applicants do not concede—the combination of Ohishi. Zak and Wahr is proper—a point that Applicants do not concede—the combination of Ohishi. Zak and Wahr is or teach or suggest receiving inout from a pointing device associated with the Interaction center agent device. The inout specifying a cursor location over the common message area, wherein the automatic scrolling action of the broadcast message is paused upon receiving inout.  An interview mass conducted on the above-identified application of the broadcast message is paused upon receiving inout.  An interview mass conducted on the above-identified application of the submitter is advance of the interview (see MYER) \$13.0).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant 's failure to submit a written record of this interview granter of this interview granter record of this interview granter of this interview (37 CPR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.  Hardkell T. Sarry    Hardkell T. Sarry	ments to be Presented:  "ak and Wahr does not it and Wahr does not it belong to get and Wahr does not it belong to get a sufficient on the above-identified completed by applicant and atayed from issue because of a sufficient is advised to file a statem.  The following the sufficient of Representative Applicant or Representative Applicant Organicant Organica	d: Wahr is proper- not teach or sug device, the input life scrolling acti itified applicatio at and submitted to ause of applicant ' itatement of the su ature)	a point this Meet received to of the bond the bo	at Applicant ving inout f a a cursor la cursor	hat Applicants do not coreiving inout from a politition of a cursor location over inproach as a cursor location over inproach as a cursor location over inproach as a cursor of the intervent of this interview (37 CPR 1.13) (Examiner/SPE Signature)	ncede—the ing device Lifte isused upon view (see this (this
Megistration room	טפו, וו מטטוועמטויע					

60423496.doc